

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,096	03/26/2001	Marten Erik Van Dijk	NL000102	1626
24737 75	590 12/10/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INT	ELLECTUAL PROPER	HA, DAC V		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF	VIANOR, NI 10510		2634	
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		. n (
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/817,096	. VAN DIJK, MARTEN ERIK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Dac V. Ha	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	0 September 2004.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice under	<u>*</u>	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-14 is/are withdrays. 5) Claim(s) 1 and 6 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-5,7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Exames 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are	awn from consideration. d/or election requirement.	iected to by the Eveniner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/817,096 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 09/20/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "there is no serious burden on the examiner to examine all the claims in this application and there is no reason for the examiner to insist on restriction". This is not found persuasive because there is a serious burden on the examiner to examine all the claims of this application. That is, even though i.e., inventions I and II can be usable together in a single combination, to examine all the claims of this application, it is require at least there separate references from there different searching areas.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Drawings

Application/Control Number: 09/817,096 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show any description (of the blocks) as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim (claim 5) can not depend on another multiple dependent claim (claim 3). See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 5 has not been further treated on the merits.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,096

Art Unit: 2634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 2-5, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 2 and 6 recites the limitation "the lead-in section" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1-5, 6-8 are allowed.
- 9. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and the objection, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kelley et al. (US 6,767,695) disclose CDROM Copy Protection.

Inazawa et al. (US 6,587,948) disclose Recording Apparatus, Recording Medium, Playback Apparatus, Recording Method And Playback Method.

Gitlin et al. (US 4,644,537) disclsoe Inband Coding Of Secondary Data.

Van Dijk et al. (US 6,650,257) disclose Information Carrier, Device For Encoding, Method For Encoding, Device For Decoding And Method For Decoding.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dac V. Ha whose telephone number is 571-273-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dac V. Ha Examiner Art Unit 2634